

# Moderation Outcome Report



## Pre-assessment Moderation

### *Unit Standard Information*

<b>Title</b>	Attach, lift, move, and place loads using mobile plant		
<b>Number</b>	17695	<b>Version</b>	3
<b>Level</b>	3	<b>Credits</b>	10

### *Assessment Material Information*

<b>Organisation submitting material for moderation</b>	Operator Training Ltd
<b>Source of assessment material</b>	Operator Training Ltd
<b>Outcomes/Elements covered</b>	All
<b>Materials submitted</b> <i>(These include such items as: assessments, marking or evidence guides, study guides etc.)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Assessment pack</li><li>▪ Model answers/Marking schedule</li></ul>

*In relation to the overall assessment...*

Yes/No	Actions Required	Actioned by developer Yes/No
<b>Are the unit standard title, ID and version number clearly marked on the assessment material?</b>		
Yes		
<b>Is the version current?</b>		
Yes		
<b>Are the assessment conditions clear and easily understood (this may include instructions to learner and/or assessor)?</b>		
Yes		
<b>Is the level of difficulty appropriate to the level of the unit standard?</b>		
Yes		
<b>Are all of the Explanatory /Special notes ranges covered?</b>		
No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The modified information on Page 2 of the Assessor Guide/ Marking Schedule does not cover the requirements of the unit standard Explanatory Notes (E.Ns).</li> <li>▪ The Entry information and recommended skills and knowledge MUST be made clear to the assessor The unit standard recommends that the candidate can demonstrate knowledge of slinging, lifting, moving and placing loads with an excavator or loader as described in Unit Standard 20875 or hold this unit standard.</li> <li>▪ Also consider what evidence needs to be shown that the pre requisites have been met, <b>before</b> this assessment is carried out. I.e. in the notes to the assessor, there is a statement 1 that: "If using a telehandler, the trainee must have completed US 23637 Operate a telehandler before proceeding with... US 17695". There needs to be some verification that this has been completed –for example, a reference to the learner’s Record of Achievement. It is not a requirement of this unit standard 17695.but could be part of the training check sheet.</li> <li>▪ There needs to be some form of verification in the assessment/ preparation/training that this has been covered off, if it is deemed to be a prerequisite and covers off various explanatory notes of US 17695.</li> </ul>	

***In the Assessment Schedule / Evidence Guide...***

Yes / No	<b>Are the evidence statements consistent with the requirements of the assessment activity and the unit standard?</b>				
Yes					
	<b>Do the evidence statements give examples of suitable responses (example answers) which meet the intent of the unit standard?</b>				
?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A more formal check sheet that has the candidate's name, the date of the course and the alignment of each of the tasks to the requirements of both these unit standards is necessary. This list with yes/no beside it is too informal and needs to be a continuous part of your training and assessment materials.</li> </ul>				
	<b>Do the judgement statements clearly describe performance levels (quality and quantity) which meet the intent of the unit standard?</b>				
Yes					
Page #	Task #	Outcome/ Element	Yes / No	Action Required <i>(add or remove rows as required)</i>	Actioned by developer Yes/No

***Additional comments*** (where necessary):

- Assessor/Evidence guides must ensure that all guidance to the assessor is clear; all the explanatory note requirements have been explained and are considered in the guide.
- While it makes sense to cover off the requirements while you are doing your preparation and training, there needs to be something in your paperwork that shows where the requirements of 20875 have been checked.
- A formal check sheet is necessary with candidate's name and date of training and that covers off the aspects of 20875 carried out in training. This should be completed by the assessor and then attached to the candidate's assessment materials.

**Moderation Outcome**

<p><b>Assessment material outcome against the National Standard:</b> <b><i>MET or NOT MET or MET but Modify</i></b> <i>(refer to the key below)</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Met but Modify</li></ul> <p>While a Met but Modify outcome does not require a new resubmission for moderation, please send in your modified documents for us to evaluate the changes.</p>
---	---

<b>Key:</b>	
<b>MET</b>	Assessment material has met the National Standard.
<b>NOT MET</b>	Assessment material has not met the National Standard and must be reviewed. Resubmission is required.
<b>MET but Modify</b>	Assessment material has met the National Standard. Minor modifications are recommended to improve the quality of the assessment material. Resubmission is not required. <i>(Place comments in the Feedback / Recommendations table below)</i>

**Feedback / Recommendations:**

*(Feedback or recommendations, other than those commented on in the body of the report that do not affect the outcome of the report)*

**Assessment Material****Assessment Schedule/Evidence Guide**

- Add a page to this assessor guide to clearly show how the EN's and Entry information requirements are going to be met. A sample idea is attached.

**Moderator**

**Name:** MITO

**Date:** 18th May, 2015